

REMARKS

Claims 21-26 are pending in the application. Claims 21 and 22 are amended. Claims 27 and 28 are new. Reconsideration and allowance of the Claims is respectfully requested.

Objected to Material

At page 2, the Office Action states that the disclosure is objected because several figures are not described in the Brief Description of the Drawings. The specification is amended to add descriptions corresponding to the various drawings.

Claim Rejections

At page 2, the Office Action states that Claim 21-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, 2nd paragraph as being indefinite in the limitations "... the plurality of recesses of the processed resin layer is reflective in the reflective film ..." in Claim 21 and "reflecting the plurality of recesses of the process resin layer in the reflective film" in Claim 22 make the claims unclear. Accordingly, Claims 21 and 22 are amended to recite that the reflective film is brought into direct contact with the processed resin layer thereby taking on the underlying shape of the processed resin layer.

The Office Action states that Claims 21- 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C §103(a). At page 2, Claims 21 and 25 are rejected as unpatentable over Yoshii et al (US 2002/0030774). At page 4, Claim 23 is rejected as unpatentable over Yoshii in view of Shiotsuka et al (US 6,191,353). At page 5, Claim 22 is rejected as unpatentable over Yoshii in view of Matsuda et al (US 5,361,163). At page 6, Claim 26 is rejected as unpatentable over Yoshii in view of Matsuda and in further view of Suga (US 2004/0076396).

As discussed above, Claims 21 and 22 are amended to recite that the reflective film is brought into direct contact with the processed resin layer thereby taking on the underlying shape of the processed resin layer. Neither Yoshii nor Yoshii in combination

with the other references disclose that the reflective film is brought into direct contact with the processed resin layer thereby taking on the shape of the processed resin layer. Applicants therefore respectfully request reconsideration and allowance of Claims 21 and 22 and also Claims 23-26 as they are based on Claims 21 and 22.

New Claims

New dependant Claims 27 and 28 are added and recite that the thickness of the reflective film is between 80 nm and 200 nm. Neither Yoshii nor Yoshii in combination with the other references disclose this feature. Yoshi merely discloses that the reflective film is a thin film. Applicants therefore respectfully request allowance of Claims 27 and 28.

Applicants believe that the arguments presented herein are sufficient to overcome the several rejections. Accordingly, allowance of all the claims is respectfully requested. Should the examiner deem a telephone conference to be of assistance in advancing the application to allowance, the examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney at the telephone number below.

Respectfully submitted,

/Gustavo Siller, Jr./

Gustavo Siller, Jr.
Registration No. 32,305
Attorney for Applicants

June 10, 2008
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE
P.O. BOX 10395
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60610
(312) 321-4200